



MEMORANDUM

To: CMAP Board

From: Angela Manning-Hardimon
Deputy Executive Director, Finance and Administration

Date: June 4, 2020

Re: Fox River Study Group Donation to the Indian Creek Watershed
Planning Project

In February 2020, the CMAP Board approved contracts with multiple vendors to provide Advisory Civil Engineering Services and Municipal Finance and Administrative Services for CMAP on a pre-approval basis. CMAP is currently negotiating with one of these vendors, Geosyntec Consultants (Geosyntec), to provide water quality civil engineering professional services.

CMAP entered into an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency in November 2019 to complete the Indian Creek Watershed-based Plan. Primary requirements of this project were the identification of annual pollutant loads by source or land use type, identification of site-specific best management practice (BMP) recommendations and associated estimated pollutant load reductions and costs, and the identification of watershed-wide BMP scenarios recommended for implementation along with associated estimated pollutant load reductions and costs.

CMAP has selected Geosyntec to assist it in this work based on the ongoing work this vendor has been performing in updating the HSPF (Hydrological Simulation Program-Fortran) model for the Fox River watershed as part of the Fox River Water Quality Model Update project for CMAP and the Fox River Study Group (FRSG).

An initial phase of the Indian Creek project that would have required the HSPF model simulation time to be extended from 2011 to 2016 has already been completed under the FRSG's existing contract with Geosyntec. It is CMAP's intent to leverage the work already completed by Geosyntec on updating the HSPF model to achieve greater efficiency of project funds.

In support of the FRSG's work and CMAP's work in the Fox River Basin and the Indian Creek Watershed, the FRSG Board has approved the contribution of \$51,500 to CMAP to support this

work. The total cost of the project will be \$69,000, for which CMAP will be contributing \$17,500 provided by Illinois EPA agreement 604192.

It is recommended that the Board approve the acceptance of \$51,500 from the Fox River Study Group to complete this work.

ACTION REQUESTED: Approval

###



Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning

Agenda Item No. 5.2

233 South Wacker Drive
Suite 800
Chicago, Illinois 60606

312 454 0400
www.cmap.illinois.gov

MEMORANDUM

To: CMAP Board

From: Angela Manning-Hardimon
Deputy Executive Director, Finance and Administration

Date: June 4, 2020

Re: Contract Approval for Planning and Programming Consulting Services

The Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seeks consulting services related to a wide variety of planning tasks and projects. These services will provide assistance for various CMAP planning and programming projects and initiatives, which may include planning as well as implementation of completed plans. The RFQ included the 22 focus areas listed below:

- Comprehensive plans
- Downtown, neighborhood, subarea, and site plans
- Transportation plans for downtowns, neighborhoods, corridors, and subareas
- Transit oriented development plans
- Transit corridor plans
- Bicycle and pedestrian-focused transportation plans
- Parking management and pricing plans
- Sustainability plans
- Climate mitigation and adaptation plans
- Water related plans
- Housing plans
- Zoning ordinances, subdivision ordinances, and unified development ordinances
- Special funding districts
- Economic development plans
- Local road safety plans
- Grant preparation and grant management
- Planning visualizations, illustrations, and drawings
- Market analysis
- Public outreach and engagement and community engagement plans
- Training and professional development
- Staff augmentation
- Assistance with other planning issues

CMAP declined to award contracts for transit oriented development plans; transit corridor plans; sustainability plans; water-related plans; housing plans; special funding districts; economic development plans; grant preparation and grant management; training and professional development and assistance with other planning issues. CMAP reserves the right to award contracts for these unawarded focus areas or release individual RFQ's for these focus areas in the future.

Request for Qualifications

A Request for Qualifications (RFQ) was sent to potential contractors and posted to the CMAP website on February 20, 2020. Individual firms were invited to submit qualifications for one or more services being requested from the list above. A pre-bid conference webinar was conducted on February 26, 2020. On April 1, 2020, CMAP received responses from the fifty-five consultants listed below:

Accent Urban Design	COLLABO	GRAEF	Sam Schwartz (SSE)
AECOM	DAMA Consultants, Inc. (DAMA)	HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR)	Savoy Consulting (Savoy)
Aileron Communications (Aileron)	Delta Institute (Delta)	Hitchcock Design Group (HDG)	SB Friedman
Ancel Glink	DePaul University (DPU)	Houseal Lavigne Associates (HLA)	Solomon Cordwell Buenz (SCB)
Antero Group (Antero)	Design Workshop	Interface Studio	Smith Group Development Advisors (Smith Group)
APMonarch	Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc. (ECT)	Jacobs Engineering Group, Ltd. (Jacobs)	Teska Associates, Inc. (Teska)
CallisonRTKL	Egret & Ox Planning	Landon Bone Baker Architects (LBBA)	The Lakota Group (Lakota)
Camiros	Elevate Energy	Living Habitats	Toole Design Group (Toole)
Canete Medina Consulting Group (Canete)	Epstein	Morreale Communications (Morreale)	TranSystems
Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. (CBBEL)	Fish Transportation Group (FTG)	Transportation Center at	UrbanLab
CDM Smith	FitzGerald	Perkins and Will	Valerie S. Kretchmer Associates (VSKA)
Cempel	Gensler	Prosper Concept Development Consultants (Prosper)	WSP
CivilTech Engineering, Inc. (CivilTech)	Geosyntec Consultants (Geosyntec)	RATIO	WXY architecture + urban design (WXY)
Center for Neighborhood Technology (CNT)	Gewalt Hamilton (GHA)	Rolf Campbell Associates (RCA)	Northwestern University (NWU)

Review Process

Responses were reviewed and scored separately by eight members of CMAP staff. The following criteria were used in evaluating submissions:

1. The demonstrated record of experience of the contractor in providing the professional services identified. Contractors should identify which of the types of services they have experience in and provide a demonstrated record for those areas. Contractors are not expected to demonstrate a record of experience for all focus areas.
2. The quality and relevance of the examples of similar work for the types of services listed for criteria number 1.
3. The contractor's approach to the professional services described in the scope of services.
4. The contractor's acknowledgement and integration of the principles of ON TO 2050 into its response.

CMAP received a large number of qualified responses in several of the focus areas. CMAP limited the number of firms selected in each focus area to align the anticipated numbers of vendors required with the anticipated volume of work over the contract period.

Recommendations for Qualification

CMAP has selected at least three (3) and as many as nine (9) firms for each category based on the number of anticipated projects in that focus area, with the exception of Staff Augmentation where all but three (3) qualified firms were selected.

Based upon the evaluation by CMAP staff, the consultants scores and staff recommendations, grouped by focus area, are provided below. The tables are divided into two categories: Selected Vendors and Non-Selected Vendors. The recommended thirty-nine (39) consultants include eight (8) Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) consultants and fourteen (14) consultants that have not previously worked with CMAP.

Comprehensive plans

Comprehensive plans establish a long-term vision for a community and provide a policy framework intended to help the community achieve its goals. The consultants recommended to perform work in this focus include:

	Max. Points	Teska	HLA	Interface Studio	Lakota	Design Workshop
Selected Vendors						
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	40	40	37	30	33
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	20	20	20	17
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	30	30	30	23	23
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	8	8	10	10	5
Total Points	100	98	98	97	83	78

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non- Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Callison RTKL	SCB	GRAEF	Egret & Ox	Camiros	Savoy	RCA	Urban Lab	GHA	AP Monarch	Geosyntec	Prosper Concepts	CBBEL	NWU
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	37	27	30	27	30	23	23	10	3	3	3	0	0	0
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	17	17	17	13	10	13	13	7	3	3	3	0	3	3
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	17	23	17	20	20	17	17	10	7	7	3	3	0	0
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	5	8	3	5	3	8	3	3	2	2	3	2	0	0
Total Points	100	76	75	67	65	63	61	56	30	15	15	12	5	3	3

Downtown, neighborhood, subarea, and site plans

These plans typically address specific areas, topics, or challenges rather than the all-inclusive approach used for comprehensive plans. The consultants selected to perform work for this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Camiros	CALLABO	Design workshop	HLA	Interface Studio	Perkins & Will	RATIO	SCB	Teska
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	37	33	37	37	30	40	33	30	40
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	20	17	17	17	20	20	20	20
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	27	27	27	23	27	30	23	27	27
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	3	8	5	8	10	3	7	7	8
Total Points	100	87	88	86	85	84	93	83	84	95

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Callison RTKL	Smith	Lakota	AECOM	HDG	Urban Lab	GRAEF	HDR	Antero	Gensler	Egret & Ox	Savoy
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	33	27	30	30	33	27	30	27	30	33	27	23

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Callison RTKL	Smith	Lakota	AECOM	HIDG	Urban Lab	GRAEF	HDR	Antero	Gensler	Egret & Ox	Savoy
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	17	17	17	17	17	13	17	13	13	17	17	13
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	23	23	20	23	20	23	20	20	20	20	17	17
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	5	10	10	5	5	10	5	10	7	0	5	8
Total Points	100	78	77	77	75	75	73	72	70	70	70	66	61

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	RCA	wxy	LBBA	Living Habitats	Accent	Delta	ECT	Epstein	Geosyntec	GHA	Prosper
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	27	23	17	7	7	7	7	7	3	3	0
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	13	13	10	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	17	20	13	7	7	7	3	3	3	3	3
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	3	0	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	2
Total Points	100	60	56	42	20	19	19	15	15	12	11	5

Transportation plans for downtowns, neighborhoods, corridors, and subareas

These plans analyze transportation system deficiencies in an area the size of a neighborhood, business district, or other focus area. The consultants selected to perform work in this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	AECOM	CivilTech	Fish	Jacobs	Toole	TranSyst	WSP
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	37	37	27	40	23	30	30
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	17	20	17	20	20	13	20
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	30	27	27	30	30	30	23
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	5	2	10	10	10	10	7
Total Points	100	89	86	81	100	83	83	80

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	GHA	SSE	CDM	Antero	HDR	HDG	Camiros	Epstein	Egret & Ox	CBBEL	NWU	DAMA
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	30	33	27	27	27	20	10	10	10	7	7	7
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	17	17	13	13	10	7	7	7	7	7	3	3
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	27	27	23	23	20	17	7	7	3	3	7	3
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	5	2	7	7	10	5	2	0	0	0	0	0
Total Points	79	79	70	70	67	49	26	24	20	17	17	13

Bicycle and pedestrian-focused transportation plans

These plans address non-motorized transportation needs, often with the goal of improving and increasing transit access and use of transportation alternatives. The consultants selected to perform work in this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Jacobs	SSE	Toole
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	40	40	40
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	20	20
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	30	23	30
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	10	3	10
Total Points	100	100	86	100

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Design Workshop	Civil Tech	HDG	Epstein	WSP	GHG	CBBEL	NWU
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	30	37	27	33	27	7	7	3
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	17	20	17	17	10	3	3	0
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	30	20	23	17	27	7	3	3
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	7	2	5	3	7	0	0	0
Total Points	100	84	79	72	70	71	17	13	6

Parking management and pricing plans

Priced parking has many benefits in areas with significant demand for parking. The consultants selected to perform work in this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	AECOM	Epstein	SSE
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	33	33	37
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	13	20	20
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	27	23	27
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	5	5	2
Total Points	100	78	81	86

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	CNT	NWU
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	23	17
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	17	7
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	23	10
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	10	0
Total Points	100	73	34

Climate mitigation and adaptation plans

Climate action, mitigation, and adaptation/resilience plans are focused on preparing a community for potential challenges due to climate change. The consultants selected to perform work in this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	AECOM	CNT	Jacobs	Perkins & Will	WSP
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	40	37	37	33	40
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	20	20	20	20
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	30	30	30	30	27
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	5	10	10	7	5
Total Points	100	95	97	97	90	92

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Elevate Energy	HDR	Smith	Delta	Geosyntec	Urban Lab	GRAEF	Living Habitats	WXY	NWU
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	37	30	27	27	27	27	20	13	7	7
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	20	17	17	17	13	17	13	7	0
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	20	23	23	20	17	20	17	17	3	3
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	10	8	10	7	10	10	5	5	0	0
Total Points	100	87	81	77	71	71	70	59	48	17	10

Zoning ordinances, subdivision ordinances, and unified development ordinances

Clearly defined development regulations implement the vision established by local plans and policies. The consultants selected to perform work in this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Ancil Glink	HLA	Jacobs
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	40	37	37
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	20	17
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	27	27	30
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	7	10	10
Total Points	100	94	94	94

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Camiros	Teska	GRAEF	Lakota	CallisonR TKL	Delta	Savoy	Egret & Ox
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	37	30	27	13	10	10	10	10
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	13	10	7	3	3	3	3
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	27	27	27	10	10	10	10	7
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	3	10	7	3	3	2	2	2
Total Points	100	87	80	71	33	26	25	25	22

Local road safety plans (LRSP)

A local road safety plan provides a process for identifying and prioritizing potential safety improvements on local roads. The consultants selected to perform work in this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	HDR	Jacobs	Toole
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	37	40	40
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	17	17	20
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	30	27	30
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	10	10	10
Total Points	100	94	94	100

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	GHA	SSE	Epstein	CDM	DAMA	Morreale	Civil Tech	CBBEL	NWU
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	33	30	33	33	33	13	13	10	7
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	17	33	17	17	17	7	7	3	3
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	27	27	20	17	20	10	7	7	7
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	8	2	7	8	2	2	0	0	0
Total Points	100	85	82	77	75	72	32	27	20	17

Planning visualizations, illustrations, and drawings

CMAF often requires assistance with visualizations, illustrations, and drawings to enhance projects by demonstrating the impact of planning or policy directions in specific areas. The consultants selected to perform work in this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	HDG	SCB	Toole	Urban Lab
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	37	40	40	40
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	20	20	20
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	23	23	30	27
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	5	7	10	10
Total Points	100	85	90	100	97

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Living Habitats	RATIO	Accent	Jacobs	Interface	WXY	Gensler	Fitzgerald	Lakota	GHA
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	37	37	37	33	30	37	30	27	13	13
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	17	17	20	17	20	17	17	17	7	7
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	23	20	20	20	20	20	23	13	10	10
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	7	8	5	10	8	0	0	3	3	3
Total Points	100	82	82	82	80	78	74	70	60	33	33

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	HDR	HLA	ECT	Design Workshop	GRAEF	Camiros	Perkins & Will	CivilTech	AP Monarch	Epstein	CBBEL	Prosper
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	10	10	7
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	3	7	3	3	0
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	10	10	10	10	10	7	7	10	3	10	10	10
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	3	3	3	3	0	2	2	0	2	2	2	3
Total Points	100	33	33	33	33	30	29	29	26	25	25	25	20

Market analysis

CMAP often requires assistance with market analysis to enhance projects during various phases of the planning process. The consultants selected to perform work in this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	VSKA	SB Friedman	AECOM
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	40	40	37
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	20	17
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	30	30	27
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	5	3	7
Total Points	100	95	93	88

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Teska	HLA	Gensler	Savoy	Canete	NWU
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	23	27	27	13	13	0
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	13	17	7	10	0
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	23	23	20	13	13	0
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	10	10	0	7	0	0
Total Points	100	76	73	64	40	36	0

Public outreach and engagement and community engagement plans

Consultants may assist CMAP with doing meaningful and inclusive public outreach and engagement using tools, methodologies, and practices appropriate to the project and the audience. The consultants selected to perform work in this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	COLLABO	Urban Lab	AP Monarch
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	37	33	30
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	20	20	20
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	30	27	30
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	10	10	8
Total Points	100	97	90	88

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Aileron	Morreale	HDR	WXY	HDG	WSP	HLA
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	30	33	30	30	20	13	13
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	17	17	13	20	7	7	7
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	27	23	23	23	20	10	10
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	5	3	10	0	5	3	3

Total Points	100	79	76	76	73	52	33	33
--------------	-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Teska	Lakota	Camiros	Perkins & Will	RATIO	Gensler	Antero
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	13	13	13	13	13	13	10
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	3	3	2	2	2	0	3
Total Points	100	33	33	32	32	32	30	30

Non-Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Jacobs	Egret & Ox	GRAEF	GHA	Savoy	Accent	NWU	Caneta
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	13	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	7	7	3	3	3	3	3	3
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	7	10	10	10	10	10	7	7
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	3	2	3	3	2	2	0	0
Total Points	100	30	29	26	26	25	25	20	20

Staff augmentation

Staff augmentation is intended to supplement CMAP project teams with additional personnel on a per-project basis. The consultants selected to perform work in this focus area are:

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Jacobs	Delta	Teska	Ancil Glink	Egret & Ox	RCA	Geosyntec	Epstein	Morreale	HDR
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	40	33	40	40	33	37	33	33	33	37
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	23	23	20	20	27	23	17	17	20	20
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	27	30	23	23	20	27	27	23	27	17
4. Contract's acknowledgement and	10	10	7	8	7	8	2	10	3	3	7

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Jacobs	Delta	Teska	Ancil Glink	Egret & Ox	RCA	Geosyntec	Epstein	Morreale	HDR
integration of the principals of ONTO 2050											
Total Points	100	100	93	91	90	88	89	87	86	83	81

Selected Vendors	Max. Points	Savoy	Interface	Lakota	DAMA	Living Habitats	Canete	CBBEL
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	40	33	30	23	33	27	33	27
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	20	13	17	20	20	13	13	17
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	30	20	17	20	17	20	17	13
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	10	10	10	10	2	7	0	0
Total Points	100	76	74	73	72	67	63	57

The vendors not being recommended for award in this focus area are:

Non-Selected Vendors	Prosper	WXY	COLLABO
1. Demonstrated Record of Experience	20	23	23
2. Quality and Relevance of Examples	3	10	7
3. Contractor's Approach to Scope of Services	17	13	7
4. Contract's acknowledgement and integration of the principals of ONTO 2050	7	0	7
Total Points	47	46	44

It is recommended that the Board approve three-year, with two 1-year options for renewal contracts with the recommended vendors to provide advisory planning services. Each contract will be reimbursed on a project order (PAO) basis. CMAP anticipates six (6) or more PAOs will be issued per year as a result of this RFQ. The estimated expenditures by CMAP pursuant to the RFQ are anticipated to be approximately \$4 million dollars over a five (5) year period. Support for this project will be provided by FY21-FY25 UWP Operating and/or Competitive grants. Option years will be dependent upon vendor performance and available budget.

ACTION REQUESTED: Approval

###